

# Fostering trust in critical care medicine: A comprehensive analysis of patient-provider relationships

Najia Hussaini<sup>1</sup>, Joseph Varon<sup>1,2,3</sup>

## Abstract

Trust is an indispensable cornerstone in the intricate web of the patient-provider relationship, and this fundamental element gains even more paramount significance within the high-stress milieu of critical care medicine. In this comprehensive article, we embark on an exploratory journey into the historical tapestry of trust-related issues that have permeated critical care settings over time. Drawing from the annals of medical history, we unravel the intricate web of trust's evolution, examining its waxing and waning in response to changing societal paradigms and advances in medical science. We dissect the root causes of trust erosion, probing into sys-

temic failures, communication breakdowns, and the myriad challenges that healthcare providers, patients, and their families face. As the critical care landscape continues to evolve, so too must our understanding and application of trust within this unique context. This article seeks to be a valuable resource for those dedicated to the well-being of patients and their families in their most vulnerable moments. Through historical insights and a comprehensive toolkit of strategies, we endeavor to foster a climate of trust, ultimately forging stronger bonds between healthcare providers and the individuals they serve, ensuring the highest standards of care in the critical care arena.

**Key words:** Trust, critical care medicine, ethical issues, end-of-life, transplantation.

## Introduction

Trust is a pivotal element in the patient-provider relationship, particularly within the high-stress environment of critical care medicine. (1) It encompasses not only clinical competence but also transparent communication, empathy, and shared decision-making. However, trust within critical care settings is fragile, with historical issues contributing to

its erosion. This article explores the historical context of trust issues in critical care, dissects the root causes of these issues, and provides an extensive analysis of strategies for building and sustaining trust between healthcare providers, patients, and their families.

## Historical trust issues in critical care medicine

Historical trust issues in critical care medicine have given rise to significant concerns in various areas:

### *Communication gaps*

Critical care settings have frequently witnessed a lack of communication between healthcare providers and patients' families, leading to feelings of exclusion and poor communication, resulting in trust deterioration. (1-3) In critical care medicine, communication gaps can have life-altering consequences for patients. These gaps often arise from the complex and high-stress nature of critical care environments, involving multiple healthcare professionals working collaboratively to save lives. (2) Some key factors contributing to communication gaps in-

<sup>1</sup> Dorrington Medical Associates, PA, Houston, Texas, USA

<sup>2</sup> The University of Houston College of Medicine

<sup>3</sup> Caribbean Medical University

## Address for correspondence:

Prof. Joseph Varon, MD, FACP, FCCP, FCCM, FRSM  
2219 Dorrington Street  
Houston, Texas 77030, USA  
Tel: +1-713-669-1670  
Fax: +1-713-669-1671  
Email: jvaron@uh.edu

clude:

1. **Multidisciplinary teams:** Critical care units involve a wide range of specialists, such as physicians, nurses, respiratory therapists, and pharmacists. Effective communication between these professionals is essential for coordinated patient care.
2. **Shift changes:** Handovers during shift changes are critical moments, and miscommunication at these junctures can lead to errors in treatment plans and patient management.
3. **Language barriers:** Language differences can hinder effective communication in diverse healthcare settings. Misunderstandings may occur due to variations in medical terminology and language proficiency.
4. **Information overload:** Critical care environments often involve a vast amount of data and information. Professionals may struggle to prioritize and convey critical information accurately.
5. **Family involvement:** Including families in care discussions can be challenging. Miscommunications or inadequate information sharing with families can lead to anxiety and dissatisfaction. (4)

Addressing these communication gaps is crucial for improving patient outcomes in critical care, emphasizing the need for standardized protocols, interprofessional training, and technologies to enhance communication and information sharing.

#### *Information asymmetry*

Patients and their families often struggle to comprehend the complex medical decisions made in critical care situations, with providers occasionally failing to adequately explain treatment plans, leading to misunderstandings and a loss of trust. (4-6)

#### **Ethical dilemmas**

Critical care often involves ethically challenging decisions, such as end-of-life care and organ donation, with trust issues arising when patients and their families perceive that providers prioritize non-patient-centric factors, such as cost or expediency, in their decision-making. (7-9)

Ethical dilemmas are common in critical care medicine, where clinicians must make complex decisions under immense pressure. (8) These dilemmas arise from competing values, obligations, and the need to provide the best possible care while respecting patients' autonomy and dignity. Some key ethical issues in critical care medicine include:

1. **Resource allocation:** Decisions about allocating

scarce resources, such as ventilators or intensive care unit (ICU) beds, can be morally challenging during a crisis. (7) Clinicians must balance the principle of distributive justice while ensuring individual patient needs are met.

2. **End-of-life care:** Determining when to withdraw or withhold life-sustaining treatments in futile or potentially harmful care is ethically sensitive. Decisions about do-not-resuscitate (DNR) orders and palliative care require careful consideration. (9)
3. **Informed consent:** In emergency situations, obtaining valid informed consent for invasive procedures may be challenging. Balancing patient autonomy with the urgency of interventions can pose ethical dilemmas.
4. **Conflicts of interest:** Physicians may face conflicts of interest, such as financial incentives or personal bias, which can compromise patient care and decision-making.
5. **Quality of life vs prolonging life:** Deciding whether to prioritize the quality of life over prolonging life is a fundamental ethical dilemma. (1,7) Determining a patient's best interests while respecting their values and wishes can be complex.
6. **Duty to report errors:** Healthcare providers grapple with their duty to report errors and near misses, which can lead to difficult ethical decisions concerning professional loyalty and patient safety.

Critical care practitioners must have a strong ethical framework, clear guidelines, and interdisciplinary ethics committees to navigate these dilemmas while ensuring the highest standard of patient care and respect for individual values and rights. Open communication with patients and their families is essential in addressing these ethical challenges while maintaining trust and transparency in critical care medicine.

#### **Legal concerns**

The looming threat of legal action can strain the patient-provider relationship. Healthcare providers may withhold information due to concerns about potential lawsuits, further eroding trust. (10-12) Legal concerns in critical care are multifaceted, encompassing issues such as medical malpractice, patient consent, and end-of-life decisions. Healthcare providers must navigate intricate legal frameworks, safeguard patient rights, and uphold professional standards while managing life-threatening situations. (11) Compliance with regulations and open communication with patients and families are im-

perative to mitigate legal risks.

### **Cultural and socioeconomic disparities**

Differences in cultural backgrounds and socioeconomic status can lead to disparities in care delivery, exacerbating distrust among patients and their families. (13-15) Cultural and socioeconomic disparities in critical care present significant challenges. Access to high-quality care can be influenced by factors such as race, ethnicity, language, and socioeconomic status. These disparities can result in unequal outcomes, affecting the diagnosis, treatment, and overall patient experience. Healthcare providers must be attuned to these disparities, striving for culturally sensitive care, equitable resource allocation, and addressing the social determinants of health, thus promoting fairness and better patient outcomes in critical care settings. (14)

### **Quality of care**

Concerns about the quality of care in critical care units, including medical errors and adverse events, can severely erode trust. (16-18) Ensuring high-quality care in critical care settings is paramount to patient safety and outcomes. To avoid errors, a multi-pronged approach is crucial. Standardizing protocols, enhancing communication among healthcare teams, conducting regular checklists, and utilizing advanced technologies for monitoring and data analysis can help mitigate potential mistakes. (19) Continuous training, rigorous oversight, and fostering a culture of safety contribute to minimizing errors, thereby optimizing the quality of care provided to critically ill patients and reducing adverse events.

### **Root causes of trust issues in critical care medicine**

Identifying the root causes of trust issues is crucial for developing effective strategies to address them. Several underlying factors contribute to these problems:

#### *Inadequate communication skills*

Healthcare providers may lack proper training in effective communication, which can result in misunderstandings and frustrations among patients and their families. (19-21) Inadequate communication skills within the realm of critical care medicine can erode the trust between patients and healthcare professionals. Critical care situations are high-stress, often complex, and emotionally charged, making effective communication vital. When healthcare providers fail to convey information clearly, listen

actively, or provide compassionate support, patients may perceive a lack of competence or empathy, resulting in mistrust. (20) Misunderstandings about diagnoses, treatment options, and prognosis can amplify anxiety and fear. To regain trust, healthcare professionals must prioritize clear, empathetic communication, foster open dialogue with patients and their families, involve them in shared decision-making, and address their concerns. Additionally, investing in communication training for healthcare teams can help mitigate these issues, ultimately enhancing the quality of care and rebuilding the essential patient-provider relationship in the critical care setting.

#### *Emotional stress*

The high-stress environment of critical care can lead to emotional exhaustion among healthcare providers, affecting their ability to engage empathetically with patients and their families. (22-24) Emotional stress in critical care can significantly impact patients and families, leading to a loss of trust in healthcare providers. (11) The high-stakes, life-threatening situations can intensify emotions; inadequate communication or perceived insensitivity can exacerbate these feelings. This may erode the trust that patients and families place in the healthcare team, hindering the therapeutic alliance and potentially affecting patient outcomes.

#### *The complexity of critical care*

The intricacies of critical care medicine make it challenging for patients and families to fully comprehend treatment plans, leading to mistrust. (25-27) The intricate nature of critical care, with multiple interventions and complex decisions, can inadvertently erode trust if not effectively communicated, leading to misunderstandings and patient doubts.

#### *Legal concerns*

The fear of litigation can make healthcare providers cautious in their interactions with patients and families, hindering transparent communication. (12,28-30) Legal concerns in critical care, such as malpractice claims or disputes over end-of-life decisions, can create apprehension and suspicion. Patients and families may become distrustful when they perceive that legal considerations, rather than their best interests, drive medical decisions, potentially straining the patient-provider relationship.

#### *Resource constraints*

Limited resources in critical care units may force

providers to make difficult decisions, such as resource allocation, which can raise ethical concerns and lead to mistrust. (21,27,31-33) Resource constraints in critical care, like limited ICU beds or medical equipment shortages, can undermine trust in healthcare systems and providers. When patients and families perceive that critical decisions are influenced by resource availability rather than solely clinical need, trust can erode. This can lead to concerns about fairness and bias in care, ultimately impacting the patient-provider relationship. Transparency in allocation decisions, clear communication about resource limitations, and a commitment to equitable care are essential to mitigate these concerns and maintain trust in the face of resource constraints in critical care settings.

#### *Cultural competency gaps*

Providers may not be adequately trained in cultural competency, leading to disparities in care and trust issues. (34-36) Cultural competency gaps in critical care can result in trust issues. (4) When healthcare providers are not attuned to the cultural needs and beliefs of patients, misunderstandings and mistrust may arise. Patients may question the quality of care and feel disconnected, leading to strained relationships and potential adverse effects on patient outcomes.

#### **Strategies for rebuilding and maintaining trust**

To rebuild and maintain trust between healthcare providers, patients, and their families in critical care medicine, a multifaceted approach is necessary. Here are some strategies:

#### *Communication training*

Healthcare providers should receive training in effective communication, emphasizing empathy, active listening, and transparency. (37-39) Communication training in critical care can enhance trust by equipping healthcare providers with the skills to convey information clearly, listen actively, and offer empathetic support. (8,14) Patients and families are more likely to trust healthcare professionals who communicate effectively, answer questions, and address concerns, fostering a stronger therapeutic relationship in critical care settings.

#### *Family-centered care*

Involve patients' families in the decision-making process and care plans, ensuring they have the opportunity to ask questions and voice concerns. (40-42) Family-centered care is vital in critical care to rebuild trust by involving families in decision-making, providing emotional support, and fostering o-

pen communication. (17,18) Engaging families can alleviate feelings of powerlessness and enhance transparency, strengthening the patient-provider relationship and ensuring that care aligns with patients' and families' values and preferences.

#### *Ethical consultation*

In cases of ethical dilemmas, consider involving an ethics committee or consultant to provide guidance and ensure decisions are made ethically and transparently. (43-45) Ethical consultations in critical care are essential for rebuilding trust, as they provide a structured forum for patients, families, and healthcare professionals to discuss complex ethical dilemmas. (2,15,43) These consultations help ensure that decisions align with ethical principles, respect patient autonomy, and promote shared decision-making. By addressing moral concerns openly and collaboratively, ethical consultations can help reestablish trust among all stakeholders, as they demonstrate a commitment to ethical care and transparency, fostering a more positive patient-provider relationship.

#### *Transparency*

Healthcare providers should be open about the limitations of care, prognosis, and potential risks, fostering trust through truthfulness. (46-48) Transparency is vital in regaining trust in critical care as it demonstrates honesty, integrity, and a commitment to patient well-being. Openly sharing information about diagnoses, treatment options, and potential risks fosters trust by empowering patients and their families to make informed decisions. Transparency also reduces the perception of hidden agendas or conflicts of interest, reinforcing the patient-provider relationship and ensuring that care is driven by ethical principles and the best interests of the patient.

#### *Cultural competency*

Invest in cultural competency training for healthcare providers to ensure that care is provided without bias or discrimination. (1,2,16,49-51) Perceived bias or discrimination can profoundly impact trust in critical care, creating a sense of inequality or mistreatment. Patients who believe they are subject to bias due to factors like race, gender, or socioeconomic status may question the fairness of their care, leading to mistrust in healthcare providers and institutions, potentially compromising patient-provider relationships and care outcomes.

#### *Legal reforms*

Explore legal reforms and protections to encourage healthcare providers to engage in open and honest

communication without fear of litigation. (52-54) Legal reforms can significantly enhance trust in critical care by providing a framework that ensures fairness, accountability, and patient rights. Reforms can help establish clear standards for informed consent, patient confidentiality, and dispute resolution. When patients and their families have confidence in a legal system that safeguards their interests, they are more likely to trust healthcare providers and institutions. Legal reforms can also address issues of resource allocation, end-of-life care, and medical malpractice, offering a sense of security and justice, thereby reinforcing trust in the critical care system and improving the patient-provider relationship.

#### *Quality improvement*

Implement robust quality improvement initiatives to reduce medical errors and enhance patient safety. This not only fosters trust but also improves the quality of care. (32,55-57) Quality improvement initiatives enhance trust in critical care by demonstrating a commitment to delivering the best possible care. These efforts involve data-driven assessments and evidence-based practices, which increase the reliability and consistency of care. (27,29) Patients and families feel reassured when they see a healthcare system actively striving to enhance patient safety and outcomes. Moreover, the transparent and accountable nature of quality improvement efforts fosters trust, as it shows a dedication to learning from mistakes and continually improving the quality of care provided in critical settings.

#### *Patient and family education*

Provide educational materials and resources to patients and their families to help them understand the critical care process and their role in decision-making. (1,16,58-60) Patient and family education in critical care is crucial for fostering trust. It empowers patients and their families with information and skills, enabling them to participate in care decisions actively. When patients understand their treatment plans and prognosis and feel involved, they have more trust in the care they receive, leading to better communication and collaboration with healthcare providers.

#### *Psychosocial support*

Recognize the emotional stress experienced by both patients and healthcare providers. Offer psychological support services to ensure the well-being of all involved parties. (61-63) Psychological support in critical care fosters trust by alleviating emotional distress and promoting a sense of care and under-

standing, strengthening the patient-provider bond.

#### *Shared decision-making*

Encourage shared decision-making between healthcare providers, patients, and their families, allowing patients to participate in their care plans actively. (64-66) Shared decision-making in critical care is a powerful tool for enhancing trust between healthcare providers and patients or their families. (17) By involving patients and their loved ones in the decision-making process, healthcare teams demonstrate respect for autonomy, values, and preferences. (67-70) This approach fosters a sense of partnership, where patients actively participate in their care plans. In turn, this collaborative approach not only results in more informed, personalized treatment decisions but also instills confidence in the healthcare system and the professionals providing care, ultimately reinforcing trust within the critical care setting. (70-72)

As noted above, building trust in critical care is foundational for establishing a robust clinician-patient relationship. Effective communication, transparent decision-making, and cultural sensitivity form the pillars of trust. (4,7,15,73-75) When patients and families are actively engaged in their care, their fears and anxieties are eased, reinforcing their faith in the healthcare team. Addressing legal and ethical concerns ethically, with empathy and respect, builds confidence in the fairness of the care received. Quality improvement initiatives further demonstrate a commitment to patient safety and continuous care enhancement. Altogether, these elements create a therapeutic alliance, nurturing a stronger, more collaborative, and trusting clinician-patient relationship in critical care settings.

#### **Conclusions**

Trust is the linchpin of the patient-provider relationship in critical care medicine. Recognizing the historical issues related to trust, understanding the root causes, and implementing the discussed strategies are crucial for rebuilding and maintaining trust. Effective communication, family-centered care, transparency, cultural competency, legal reforms, ethical consultations, quality improvement initiatives, patient and family education, psychosocial support, and shared decision-making are the building blocks for a robust and enduring patient-provider relationship in critical care medicine. By embracing these strategies, healthcare providers can offer high-quality care and improve patient outcomes while preserving the trust that is essential to the healing process.

## References

1. Lemmers AL, van der Voort PHJ. Trust in Intensive Care Patients, Family, and Healthcare Professionals: The Development of a Conceptual Framework Followed by a Case Study. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2021;9:208.
2. Latour JM, Kentish-Barnes N, Jacques T, Wysocki M, Azoulay E, Metaxa V. Improving the intensive care experience from the perspectives of different stakeholders. *Crit Care* 2022; 26:218.
3. Puchalski CM, Zhong Z, Jacobs MM, Fox E, Lynn J, Harrold J, et al. Patients who want their family and physician to make resuscitation decisions for them: observations from SUPPORT and HELP. Study to Understand Prognoses and Preferences for Outcomes and Risks of Treatment. Hospitalized Elderly Longitudinal Project. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2000;48: S84-90.
4. Schenker Y, Fernandez A, Sudore R, Schilinger D. Interventions to improve patient comprehension in informed consent for medical and surgical procedures: a systematic review. *Med Decis Making* 2011;31:151-73.
5. Lilly CM, De Meo DL, Sonna LA, Haley KJ, Massaro AF, Wallace RF, et al. An intensive communication intervention for the critically ill. *Am J Med* 2000;109:469-75.
6. Lautrette A, Darmon M, Megarbane B, Joly LM, Chevret S, Adrie C, et al. A communication strategy and brochure for relatives of patients dying in the ICU. *N Engl J Med* 2007;356:469-78.
7. Nelson JE, Mulkerin CM, Adams LL, Pronovost PJ. Improving comfort and communication in the ICU: a practical new tool for palliative care performance measurement and feedback. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2006;15:264-71.
8. Henrich N, Dodek P, Heyland D, Cook D, Rocker G, Kutsogiannis D, et al. Qualitative analysis of an intensive care unit family satisfaction survey. *Crit Care Med* 2011;39: 1000-5.
9. Todd A, Nutbeam D. More and better clinical trials in health care: focusing on people, not just systems and processes. *Med J Aust* 2023;218: 209-11.
10. Citterio G, the ICM Editorial Board. And once the storm is over... ICM will remain the intensivist's beacon. *Intensive Care Med* 2021;47:687-91.
11. Ostermann M, Vincent J-L. ICU without borders. *Crit Care* 2023;27:186.
12. Agard A, Hofhuis J, Koopmans M, Gerritsen RT, Spronk PE, Engelberg RA, et al. Identifying improvement opportunities for patient- and family-centered care in the ICU: Using qualitative methods to understand family perspectives. *J Crit Care* 2019;49:33-7.
13. Trachtenberg F, Dugan E, Hall M. How patients' trust relates to their involvement in medical care. *J Fam Pract* 2005;54:344-52.
14. Egede L, Ellis C. Development and testing of the multidimensional trust in health care systems scale. *J Gen Intern Med* 2008;23:808-15.
15. Choy HH, Ismail A. Indicators for medical mistrust in healthcare—A review and standpoint from Southeast Asia. *Malays J Med Sci* 2017;24:5-20.
16. Strandas M, Bondas T. The nurse-patient relationship as a story of health enhancement in community care: A meta-ethnography. *J Adv Nurs* 2018;74:11-22.
17. Mullarkey M, Duffy A, Timmins F. Trust between nursing management and staff in critical care: a literature review. *Nurs Crit Care* 2011;16:85-91.
18. Madrigal VN, Hill DL, Shults J, Feudtner C. Trust in Physicians, Anxiety and Depression, and Decision-Making Preferences among Parents of Children with Serious Illness. *J Palliat Med* 2022;25:428-36.
19. Hoonakker PLT, Pecanac KE, Brown RL, Carayon P. Virtual collaboration, satisfaction, and trust between nurses in the tele-ICU and ICUs: Results of a multilevel analysis. *J Crit Care* 2017;37:224-9.
20. Dawson D, McEwen A. Critical care without walls: The role of the nurse consultant in critical care. *Intensive Crit Care Nurs* 2005;21:334-43.
21. Wilkes MS, Marcin JP, Ritter LA, Pruitt S. Organizational and Teamwork Factors of Tele-Intensive Care Units. *Am J Crit Care* 2016;25: 431-9.
22. Suleman S, O'Brien JM, McIlduff C, Benson B, Labine N, Khan S, et al. Understanding equitable and affirming communication moments and relationship milestones during the intensive care unit journey: findings from stage 1 of a design thinking project. *Can J Anaesth* 2023;70: 995-1007.
23. Nygaard AM, Haugdahl HS, Laholt H, Brinchmann BS, Lind R. Professionals' narratives of interactions with patients' families in intensive care. *Nurs Ethics* 2022;29:885-98.
24. Pavlish CL, Henriksen J, Brown-Saltzman K,

- Robinson EM, Warda US, Farra C, et al. A Team-Based Early Action Protocol to Address Ethical Concerns in the Intensive Care Unit. *Am J Crit Care* 2020;29:49-61.
25. Cook DJ, Guyatt G, Rocker G, Sjøkvist P, Weaver B, Dodek P, et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation directives on admission to intensive-care unit: An international observational study. *Lancet* 2001;358:1941-5.
  26. Breen CM, Abernethy AP, Abbott KH, Tulsy JA. Conflict associated with decisions to limit life-sustaining treatment in intensive care units. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001;16:283-9.
  27. Alhussaini A. Improve communicating uncertainty in intensive care unit with patient and family (ICU-PF). *Cureus* 2021;13:1-8.
  28. Hutchison P, McLaughlin K, Corbridge T, Michelson KN, Emanuel L, Sporn PHS, et al. Dimensions and role-specific mediators of surrogate trust in the ICU. *Crit Care Med* 2016;44:2208-14.
  29. Varghese MP, Selwyn T, Nair S, Samuel S, Chacko B, Pichamuthu K. Assessment of family satisfaction with remote communication for critically ill COVID-19 patients: An observational cohort study. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2023;27:537-44.
  30. Xue X, Qiao J, Li Y, Zhang Q, Wang Y, Wang J, et al. Relationship between work engagement and healthy work environment among Chinese ICU nurses: The mediating role of psychological capital. *Nurs Open* 2023;10:6248-57.
  31. Hill C, Knafl K, Docherty S, Santacroce SJ. Parent perceptions of the impact of the Pediatric Intensive Care environment on delivery of family-centred care. *Intensive Crit Care Nurs* 2019;50:88-94.
  32. Govindasaami V, Rajabalan A. Communication gap in ICU: SPIKES can be a useful tool! *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2023;27:771.
  33. Sanapala V, Patil V. Revamping communication strategies: Emphasizing emotional connect in times of crisis. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2023;27:529-30.
  34. Rose L, Cook A, Onwumere J, Terblanche E, Pattison N, Metaxa V, et al. Psychological distress and morbidity of family members experiencing virtual visiting in intensive care during COVID-19: An observational cohort study. *Intensive Care Med* 2022;48:1156-64.
  35. Gries C, Engelberg R, Kross E, Zatzick D, Nielsen EL, Downey L, et al. Predictors of symptoms of posttraumatic stress and depression in family members after patient death in the ICU. *Chest* 2010;137:280-7.
  36. Meth ND, Lawless B, Hawryluck L. Conflicts in the ICU: Perspectives of administrators and clinicians. *Intensive Care Med* 2009;35:2068-77.
  37. Azoulay E, Timsit J-F, Sprung CL, Soares M, Rusinová K, Lafabrie A, et al. Prevalence and factors of intensive care unit conflicts: The conflictus study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;180:853-60.
  38. Studdert DM, Mello MM, Burns JP, Puopolo AL, Galper BZ, Truog RD, et al. Conflict in the care of patients with prolonged stay in the ICU: Types, sources, and predictors. *Intensive Care Med* 2003;29:1489-97.
  39. Brush DR, Brown CE, Alexander GC. Critical care physicians' approaches to negotiating with surrogate decision makers: A qualitative study. *Crit Care Med* 2012;40:1080-7.
  40. Johnson SK, Bautista CA, Hong SY, Weissfeld L, White DB. An empirical study of surrogates' preferred level of control over value-laden life support decisions in intensive care units. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;183:915-21.
  41. Hall MA, Dugan E, Zheng B, Mishra AK. Trust in physicians and medical institutions: What is it, can it be measured, and does it matter? *Milbank Q* 2001;79:613-39.
  42. Hall MA, Camacho F, Dugan E, Balkrishnan R. Trust in the medical profession: Conceptual and measurement issues. *Health Serv Res* 2002;37:1419-39.
  43. Mechanic D, Meyer S. Concepts of trust among patients with serious illness. *Soc Sci Med* 2000;51:657-68.
  44. Armstrong K, Rose A, Peters N, Long JA, McMurphy S, Shea JA. Distrust of the health care system and self-reported health in the United States. *J Gen Intern Med* 2006;21:292-7.
  45. Goudge J, Gilson L. How can trust be investigated? Drawing lessons from past experience. *Soc Sci Med* 2005;61:1439-51.
  46. Zimmerman JE, Kramer AA, McNair DS, Malila FM. Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) IV: Hospital mortality assessment for today's critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med* 2006;34:1297-310.
  47. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psych* 2006;3:77-101.
  48. Kao AC, Green DC, Davis NA, Koplan JP, Cleary PD. Patients' trust in their physicians: effects of choice, continuity, and payment method. *J Gen Intern Med* 1998;13:681-6.
  49. Pearson SD, Ræke LH. Patients' trust in physicians: Many theories, few measures, and little data. *J Gen Intern Med* 2000;15:509-13.

50. Asadi N, Royani Z, Maazallahi M, Salmani F. Being torn by inevitable moral dilemma: experiences of ICU nurses. *BMC Med Ethics* 2021;22:1-5.
51. Blendon RJ, Benson JM. Trust in Medicine, the Health System & Public Health. *Daedalus* 2022;151:67-82.
52. Mentzelopoulos SD, Slowther A-M, Fritz Z, Sandroni C, Xanthos T, Callaway C, et al. Ethical challenges in resuscitation. *Intensive Care Med* 2018;44:703-16.
53. Mullarkey M, Duffy A, Timmins F. Trust between nursing management and staff in critical care: a literature review. *Nurs Crit Care* 2011;16:85-91.
54. Ward PR. Improving Access to, Use of, and Outcomes from Public Health Programs: The Importance of Building and Maintaining Trust with Patients/Clients. *Front Public Health* 2017;5:22.
55. Sousa-Duarte F, Brown P, Mendes AM. Healthcare professionals' trust in patients: A review of the empirical and theoretical literatures. *Sociology Compass* 2020;14:1-15.
56. Çoşkun Palaz S, Kayacan S. The relationship between the level of trust in nurses and nursing care quality perceptions of patients treated for Covid-19. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2023;37:364-72.
57. Safavi K, Wiener-Kronish J, Hanidziar D. The Complexity and Challenges of Intensive Care Unit Admissions and Discharges: Similarities With All Hospitalized Patients. *JAMA Intern Med* 2018;178:1399-400.
58. Hurst SA, Hull SC, DuVal G, Danis M. Physicians' Responses to Resource Constraints. *Arch Intern Med* 2005;165:639-44.
59. de Jesus FS, de Macedo Paim D, de Oliveira Brito J, de Araujo Barros I, Nogueira TB, Martinez BP, et al. Mobility decline in patients hospitalized in an intensive care unit. *Rev Bras Ter Intensiva* 2016;28:114-9.
60. Cox J. Risk Factors for Pressure Injury Development Among Critical Care Patients. *Crit Care Nurs Clin North Am* 2020;32:473-88.
61. Davidson JE, Powers K, Hedayat KM, Tieszen M, Kon AA, Shepard E, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for support of the family in the patient-centered intensive care unit: American College of Critical Care Medicine Task Force 2004–2005. *Crit Care Med* 2007;35:605-22.
62. Maccioli GA, Dorman T, Brown BR, Mazuski JE, McLean BA, Kuszaj JM, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the maintenance of patient physical safety in the intensive care unit: use of restraining therapies--American College of Critical Care Medicine Task Force 2001-2002. *Crit Care Med* 2003;31:2665-76.
63. Tolle SW, Teno JM. Lessons from Oregon in Embracing Complexity in End-of-Life Care. *N Engl J Med* 2017;376:1078-82.
64. Kumar N, Fatima M, Ghaffar S, Subhani F, Waheed S. To resuscitate or not to resuscitate? The crossroads of ethical decision-making in resuscitation in the emergency department. *Clin Exp Emerg Med* 2023;10:138-46.
65. Bell, J.A.H., Salis, M., Tong, E, Nikolaichuk E, Barned C, Bianchi A, et al. Clinical ethics consultations: a scoping review of reported outcomes. *BMC Med Ethics* 2022;23:99.
66. Guidolin K, Catton J, Rubin B, Bell J, Marangos J, Munro-Heesters A, et al. Ethical decision making during a healthcare crisis: A Resource Allocation Framework and tool. *J Med Ethics* 2022;48:504-9.
67. DeLemos D, Chen M, Romer A, Brydon K, Kastner K, Anthony B, et al. Building Trust through communication in the Intensive Care Unit: HICCC. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2010;11:378-84.
68. Chaitin E, Stiller R, Jacobs S, Hershl J, Grogen T, Weinberg J. Physician-patient relationship in the Intensive Care Unit: Erosion of the sacred trust? *Crit Care Med* 2003;31:S367-72.
69. Vivian L, Marais A, McLaughlin S, Falkenstein S, Argent A. Relationships, trust, decision-making and quality of care in a paediatric intensive care unit. *Intensive Care Med* 2009;35:1593-8.
70. Thüm S, Janssen C, Pfaff H, Lefering R, Neugebauer EA, Ommen O. The association between psychosocial care by physicians and patients' trust: a retrospective analysis of severely injured patients in surgical intensive care units. *Psychosoc Med* 2012;9:Doc04.
71. Stephens AL, Bruce CR, Childress A, Malek J. Why families get angry: Practical strategies for clinical ethics consultants to rebuild trust between angry families and clinicians in the Critical Care Environment. *HEC Forum* 2019;31:201-17.
72. Shenolikar RA, Balkrishnan R, Hall MA. How patient-physician encounters in Critical Medical Situations Affect Trust: Results of a national survey. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2004;4:24.
73. Hutchison PJ, McLaughlin K, Corbridge T, Michelson KN, Emanuel L, Sporn PHS, et al. Dimensions and role-specific mediators of surrogate trust in the ICU. *Crit Care Med* 2016;44:2208-14.
74. Haines KJ, Leggett N, Hibbert E, Hall T, Boehm LM, Bakhru RN, et al. Patient and caregiver-derived health service improvements for Better Critical Care Recovery. *Crit Care Med* 2022;50:1778-87.
75. Klepacka M, Bakalarski P. Trust of society towards selected medical professions-doctors, nurses, paramedics. *Crit Care Innov* 2018;1:1-10.